

Message

---

**From:** Jensen, Amy A. [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=811EEFF6CF6E47F9996DB91B4372DFB0-JENSEN, AMY]  
**Sent:** 11/14/2019 9:20:13 PM  
**To:** McMaster-Goering, Tina [tmcmastergoering@blm.gov]; john.mcpherson@hdrinc.com  
**CC:** ellen.h.lyons@usace.army.mil; Whitley, Annie [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=fa294f7682944831b1d8b71ddfeac6c7-Whitley, An]; Sargent, John C CIV CEPOA CEPOD (US) [John.C.Sargent@usace.army.mil]; Peterson, Erik [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=0ecba75a9f9448d3980a693951129e64-Peterson, Erik]  
**Subject:** Ambler DEIS wetland info - from ACCS

Hi John,

I wanted to followup on my question today on our Ambler call about the "other wetland data" that I remembered had been used in the DEIS for Ambler. The sentence that prompted my question is on page 3-36 and 3-37 of the DEIS that said "The wetlands analysis used Alaska Center for Conservation Science (ACCS) mapping to provide broad context (see Volume 4, Map 3-9)."

I was just curious how the ACCS data had been used for the DEIS, exactly?

Otherwise, I got the impression that the DEIS used the wetland mapping from DOWL to quantify wetland impacts of each alternative. Is that correct?

Thanks so much for your help on clarifying this point.

-Amy



**Amy Jensen**

Regional Wetland Coordinator  
U.S. EPA, Region 10  
Wetlands and Oceans Section, Water Division  
1200 6th Ave, Suite #155, Mail Stop 14-D12  
Seattle, Washington 98101-3188  
Office: (206) 553-0285; Cell: [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)]  
Email: [jensen.amy@epa.gov](mailto:jensen.amy@epa.gov)

---